## Project Alvarium Annual Review 2023

Prepared by: Trevor Conn trevor.conn@dell.com

4-Oct-2023

**DCL**Technologies

#### Agenda

- Short refresh on what Alvarium is
- Review of work done this past year
- Goals for Coming Year
- Challenges



### **Executive Summary**

A description of the Alvarium concept and its relevance for modern use cases.

- Modern applications are extensively distributed
- Data is no longer a fixed asset stored in a silo.
- Data traverses the network and can be transformed along the way.
- Create metadata that attests verifiable authority at the origin of data
- Create metadata describing how data was handled as it traverses the eco-system
- Metadata is created at "trust insertion points"
- A measure of trust is calculated at each insertion point and can be weighted
- Trust can be rolled up into an overall confidence score for a piece of data
- A trust score may be used to govern system behavior or alert operators to an attack

## Example: Data annotated in traversal

 $\triangleleft$ 

The Alvarium code base is a <u>lightweight SDK</u> that annotates data streams (e.g., sensor data) with trust metadata and confidence scores, forming a Data Confidence Fabric (DCF)

	TRUST METADATA	A CONFIDENCE		TRUST META	DATA	CONFIDENC	CE	TRUST ME	ETADATA	CONFIDENCE
	TPM 2.0	1.0		TPM 2.0		1.0		TPM 2.0		1.0
	Secure Boot	1.0	F	Secure Boot		1.0	,	Secure Bo	ot	1.0
	Distributed Ledge	r 1.0		Distributed Ledger		1.0		Distribute	d Ledger	1.0
				Encrypted Comms (TLS)		1.0		Encrypted	l Comms (TLS)	1.0
				Signature ver	ification	1.0		Signature	verification	1.0
								Content validation (Hash)		1.0
((()))), s	011010100" ensor Data	SDK Gateway	"0110	10100"	SDI Edge Se	K erver	"011010	100"	SD Clou	K Jd





Confidence Score = 6.0 (or %100)

## Data Confidence Graph (Conceptual Diagram)



## Prior Year's Work Review

**D&LL**Technologies

#### Work Review

- All code contributions during past year have come from Dell team members
- Focus of our effort has been on attempting to realize a prototype of the "Data Confidence Graph" vision shown in the previous section
  - Integrated Alvarium Go SDK with EVE-OS Adam Controller
    - Work performed on a team member's <u>fork</u> to annotate the presence of a TPM when device is onboarded
  - Integration of Alvarium Java SDK with Jenkins pipeline
    - Wrapped Alvarium SDK in a shared library for use by multiple pipeline steps
    - Three new annotators demonstrating auditability of CI pipeline, scoring of published artifact
      - SourceCodeAnnotator verifies integrity of cloned code prior to build
      - VulnerabilityAnnotator scans dependency files (such as Maven or go.mod) for known vulnerabilities
      - ChecksumAnnotator compares the checksum value of a build artifact against a known good value

**D&LL**Technologies

- Using a "forked" copy of the EdgeX Foundry pipeline for integration
- Integration of Alvarium Go SDK with EdgeX Foundry services
  - In progress, discussion ongoing with EdgeX TSC/Architects
  - Initial work to conduct integration has begun in team member forks based on Minneapolis release
    - Device-sdk-go, device-virtual-go

#### Data Confidence Graph Toolchain



## Data Confidence Graph Annotations



## Data Confidence Graph Orchestration



# Goals for Coming Year

**DCL**Technologies

#### Goals

- We hope to complete the aforementioned proposed integration with other LF-Edge projects
  - We believe this will provide a compelling, tangible demonstration of what we perceive to be Alvarium's value add
  - This work will also help us to establish more connection and communication with other LF-Edge projects
- Subsequent to completion, we would be happy to promote the work through conferences, written content or
  podcasts on behalf of LF-Edge.
- Once complete, we hope this workstream will provide some clarity as to the future direction of the project
  - See "Challenges" section below.

# Challenges

**D&LL**Technologies



#### Challenges

- Once again, project participation remains the key challenge
  - All contributions at this point come from Dell team members
  - Internal resources are not full-time dedicated and there can be organizational fluidity/churn
- "Digital Confidence Graph" is great ideation but is widening the aperture of the project outside of its original scope
  - For example
    - To what extent is full stack provenance already provided in an implicit way through attestation?
    - If Alvarium plans to provide confidence regarding automated CI/CD practices, does it plan to align with other projects in this space such as SigStore and SLSA?
      - "800 pound gorilla" problem
    - Alvarium enablement throughout the stack requires different programming models, divergent SDKs. Seems complex.
- A topic that has repeatedly come up but which we can't seem to nail down is whether or not the annotation schema rather than the SDK implementation should be the focus.
  - Annotation schema should support explicit confidence measurement at multiple layers of the stack
  - Extend current arbitrary schema to support specialized messages through abstraction
  - Turn the project towards more of a "standards body" approach rather than implementation.

