

State of the Edge - Stage 2 - 2020-12-02

Completed by: Matt Trifiro, Vapor IO

Submitted to TAC Mail List: 2020/12/02

Presented on TAC Weekly Call: 2020/12/02 ([Meeting Recording](#))



Below is a self-assessment submitted by TSC Chair/Maintainers of the Project. Comments/questions/feedback is welcome either a) in the Comments at the bottom of the page or b) during the TAC call when information is presented

stage 1: At Large Projects

Stage 2 and Stage 3 Projects also requested to complete this section, as PLD acceptance criteria requires meeting current as well as prior stage requirements

Stage 1 Criteria (from the PLD)	Meets / Needs Improvement / Missing / Not Applicable	Supporting Data (if needed, include links to specific examples)
2 TAC Sponsors, if identified (Sponsors help mentor projects) - See full definition on Project Stages: Definitions and Expectations	Missing (project was grandfathered in to Stage 2 without)	
The typical IP Policy for Projects under the LF Edge Foundation is Apache 2.0 for Code Contributions, Developer Certificate of Origin (DCO) for new inbound contributions, and Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License for Documentation. Projects under outside licenses may still submit for consideration, subject to review/approval of the TAC and Board.	Meets	
Upon acceptance, At Large projects must list their status prominently on website/readme	Needs Improvement	Waiting on LF staff to provide site login for editing.

Stage 1 Projects, please skip to **Additional Information Requested from All Projects**

Stage 2: Growth Stage

Stage 3 Projects also requested to complete this section

Stage 2 Criteria (from the PLD)	Meets / Needs Improvement / Missing / Not Applicable	Supporting Data (if needed, include links to specific examples)
Development of a growth plan (to include both roadmap of projected feature sets as well as overall community growth/project maturity), to be done in conjunction with their project mentor(s) at the TAC.	Needs Improvement	Need TAC mentors; no written growth plan
Document that it is being used in POCs.	Not Applicable	
Demonstrate a substantial ongoing flow of commits and merged contributions.	Not Applicable	Most SOTE activity happens outside of Git workflows.
Demonstrate that the current level of community participation is sufficient to meet the goals outlined in the growth plan.	Meets	

Demonstrate evidence of, or a plan for, interoperability, compatibility or extension to other LF Edge Projects. Examples may include demonstrating modularity (ability to swap in components between projects).	Meets	Open Glossary of Edge Computing has been updated to include all new and modified taxonomy definitions from the LF Edge whitepaper. Staff has helped identify project liaisons and coordinate project summaries for the 2021 report.
---	-------	--

Stage 2 Projects, please skip to **Additional Information Requested from All Projects**

Stage 3: Impact Stage

Criteria	Meets / Needs Improvement / Missing / Not Applicable	Supporting Data (if needed, include links to specific examples)
Have a defined governing body of at least 5 or more members (owners and core maintainers), of which no more than 1/3 is affiliated with the same employer. In the case there are 5 governing members, 2 may be from the same employer.		
Have a documented and publicly accessible description of the project's governance, decision-making, and release processes.		
Have a healthy number of committers from at least two organizations. A committer is defined as someone with the commit bit; i.e., someone who can accept contributions to some or all of the project.		
Demonstrate evidence of interoperability, compatibility or extension to other LF Edge Projects. Examples may include demonstrating modularity (ability to swap in components between projects).		
Adopt the Foundation Code of Conduct.		
Explicitly define a project governance and committer process. This is preferably laid out in a GOVERNANCE.md file and references a CONTRIBUTING.md and OWNERS.md file showing the current and emeritus committers.		
Have a public list of project adopters for at least the primary repo (e.g., ADOPTERS.md or logos on the project website).		

Additional Information Requested from All Projects

Additional Information Requested from All Projects	Supporting Data (if needed, include links to specific examples)
Intention for the upcoming year (Remain at current stage OR advance towards the next Stage)	No changes planned
Include a link to your project's LFX Insights page. We will be looking for signs of consistent or increasing contribution activity. Please feel free to add commentary to add color to the numbers and graphs we will see on Insights.	Most SOTE activity falls outside of the LFX Insights tracking. https://insights.lfx.linuxfoundation.org/projects/lfedge%2Fstate-of-the-edge/dashboard
How many maintainers do you have, and which organizations are they from? (Feel free to link to an existing MAINTAINERS file if appropriate.)	Approx 10. We have a small group of project leads and participants from Vapor IO, Equinix, Section, Zededa, Open Infrastructure Foundation, and Digital Realty.
What do you know about adoption, and how has this changed since your last review / since you joined the current Stage? If you can list companies that are end users of your project, please do so. (Feel free to link to an existing ADOPTERS file if appropriate.)	Needs discussion. How should State of the Edge measure adoption?
How has the project performed against its goals since the last review? (We won't penalize you if your goals changed for good reasons.)	No previous review.
What are the current goals of the project? For example, are you working on major new features? Or are you concentrating on adoption or documentation?	2021 State of the Edge report to be released Jan 2021 V3.0 of Open Glossary and v2.0 of Landscape to be released Q1 2021
How can LF Edge help you achieve your upcoming goals?	Keep doing what you're doing

Do you think that your project meets the criteria for the next Stage?	Not yet
Please summarize Outreach Activities in which the Project has participated in (e.g. Participation in conferences, seminars, speaking engagements, meetups, etc.)	State of the Edge has participated regularly in webinars, speaking panels, press announcements, and has also provided blog content as well as editing support for the LF Edge white paper.
Are you leveraging the Technical Project Getting Started Checklist ? If yes, please provide link (if publicly available).	No. Not applicable.